

Strategic Assessment 2020

Executive summary

An annual assessment of Eastern IFCA fisheries is undertaken each year. The Strategic Assessment is used to identify the highest risk elements of all the fisheries in the district, including fisheries (stock) sustainability, viability and environmental impacts. The Strategic Assessment draws on a data-driven analysis (the initial assessment) and contextual knowledge of officers (the contextual assessment) to identify work-streams and assign a priority based on the risk. This informs the Business plan.

The initial assessment indicated similar risk scores as were found in the previous assessment. This reflects that work in relation to these priorities is still underway and that risk associated with these work-streams is still of priority. These include delivery of management in marine protected areas (MPAs), and delivery of fisheries sustainability in the crustacean fishery.

Potential works are considered as an output of the assessments. Viable industry is a specific category of work to reflect Eastern IFCA's role in assisting the industry in developing to meet the demands of contemporary fisheries and issues.

The majority of high priorities roll over from 2018; these relate to management of fisheries in MPAs including the development of Monitoring and Control Plans which follow from the 'Amber and green' assessments. Investigation into mussel die-off in The Wash is still identified as a high priority.

The outputs of the strategic assessment also include the identification of established work-streams which contribute to maintaining a lower risk in certain fisheries. These are highlighted to inform decisions related to resource allocation. In addition, future priorities are indicated which may reflect longer-term risk.

Contents

- **1.0** Introduction
 - **1.1** Requirement for a strategic assessment
 - 1.2 Approach
 - **1.2.1** Initial assessment
 - **1.2.2** Priorities in the context of other drivers and additional criteria
 - **1.2.3** Fisheries management in MPAs
- 2.0 Results
 - 2.1 Fisheries assessment
 - 2.2 High priority works
 - **2.3** Continuation of crucial work
 - 2.4 Identification of future priorities 2020-2120
- **3.0** Principles applied in undertaking priorities
 - **3.1** Consideration of the complete 'fishery'
 - **3.2** Community Voice Method
 - **3.3** Industry viability
- 4.0 Conclusions

Abbreviations

Bass Nursery Area	BNA
Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science	Cefas
Community Voice Method	CVM
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	Defra
Eastern Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority	Eastern IFCA
Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee	ESFJC
Habitat Regulation Assessment	HRA
International Council for the Exploration of the Sea	ICES
Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Officer	IFCO
Length Converted Catch Curve	LCCC
Landing Per Unit Effort	LPUE
Monitoring and Control Plans	MCPs
Marine Conservation Zone	MCZ
Marine Management Organisation	MMO
Marine Protected Area	MPA
Marine Strategy Framework Directive	MSFD
Maximum Sustainable Yield	MSY
Minimum Landing Size	MLS
Monthly Shellfish Activity Report	MSAR
Natural England	NE
Oslo-Paris Convention for the Protection of the Marine	OSPAR
Environment of the North-East Atlantic	Convention
Renaissance of East Anglia Fisheries	REAF
Relative Fluorescent Unit	RFU
Recreational Sea Angler	RSA
Special Protection Area	SPA
Special Area of Conservation	SAC
Study of the Wash Embayment, Environment and Productivity	SWEEP
Tactical Co-ordination Group	TCG
Wash Fishery Order 1992	WFO 1992

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Requirement for a strategic assessment

The inshore fishing sector is varied and dynamic with many different fisheries targeting a range of species with various gears. The inshore environment is also varied; the Eastern IFCA district hosts an array of marine protected areas (MPAs); it contains important spawning and nursery grounds for a variety of species and supports a wide range of industries in addition to the fishing sector. Effective fisheries regulation and conservation management requires more than simple stock management, it needs a holistic approach encompassing environmental, social and economic issues.

IFCAs strive to maintain an effective regulatory framework capable of ensuring sustainable fisheries, healthy seas and a viable industry. This Strategic Assessment is conducted to identify fisheries related issues using a risk-based approach. The focus is on commercial fisheries, but recreational fisheries issues are also included. Best available evidence is used to prioritise fisheries and environmental features which may require management and regulation.

The inshore fishing sector is relatively data-limited – the under-ten metre fishing vessels, which make up the majority of the inshore fleet, are currently exempt from carrying vessel monitoring systems and the requirement to provide landings data, although under-ten catch recording is being implemented during 2020. Unforeseen issues or events often occur outside of the annual planning cycle which cannot be accounted for. As such, whist this document provides a fixed overview, Eastern IFCA priorities may vary based on changes to best available evidence and changing social and political drivers.

The Strategic Assessment provides an opportunity to identify any emerging issues and to assign priority to identified work streams. This is required to ensure effective planning and delivery of associated tasks. This assessment informs the rolling 5-year business plan and the compliance risk register.

1.2 Approach

Fisheries were identified within Eastern IFCA's district using Marine Management Organisation (MMO) landings data. Whilst this data does have its limitations, it currently represents best available evidence. Each species landed was assessed in relation to criteria as set out below:

- *Evidence base* an assessment of the available evidence for each species in relation to fishing effort, landings, stock health and presence of spawning and nursery areas.
- *Current Regulation* assesses species based on measures currently in place in relation to the protection of pre-spawning individuals, gear management and effort restrictions.

- *Ecosystem impacts* assessment considers the potential ecosystem level impacts of the main gears associated with each species (e.g. by-catch, habitat damage).
- Fisheries performance considers the landed weight and value of catch from within the Eastern IFCA district, any trends in landed catch, landings from within the district as a proportion of the UK total and available ICES advice. This links to issue 3 in CVM: Need to ensure fishing sustainability and viability.

Each species is provided a relative 'risk' rank for each criterion. These scores are considered separately (by species) and as part of a fisheries group to identify any key issues. Species are grouped based on similarities in biology and fishing methods.

A further assessment is undertaken looking at wider contextual drivers. This includes a consideration of the presence of fisheries within MPAs, which has a significant effect on prioritisation.

1.2.2 Priorities in the context of other drivers and additional criteria

The initial assessment provides an indication of the risk posed by the fishing activities. To more fully explore the risk associated with each fishery, additional criteria are applied, where the data is available, and contextual issues are explored. Below is an explanation of the additional factors and contextual issues which are also considered.

Spawning and nursery grounds – inshore fisheries tend to be small scale, targeted by vessels under 10 metres. However, where spawning or nursery grounds occur (as is often the case for inshore areas), even small-scale fishing activities can have a disproportionate effect on the wider stock. The assumption is that there is a greater risk to fisheries sustainability and wider ecosystem impacts where fishing effort overlaps spatially with spawning or nursery grounds. The primary sources of spawning and nursery ground evidence is found within Ellis *et al* 2010¹ and 2012² and an Eastern IFCA research report on the composition of commercial catches $(2014)^3$.

Fisheries trends – MMO data has been used to assess whether a trend can be observed from landings data for the period 2010-2018. Strong trends are associated with a higher risk and a greater priority.

Recreational activity – Data on recreational activity is limited for most species. The outputs of the Angling 2012 project by Armstrong *et al.* 2013⁴ have been used to judge

¹ J.R.Ellis, S.Milligan, L.Readdy, A.South, N.Taylor and M.Brown: 2010. MB5301 Mapping spawning and nursery areas of species to be considered in Marine Protected Areas (Marine Conservation Zones); Report No 1: Final Report on development of derived data layers for 40 mobile species considered to be of conservation importance.

² Ellis, J.R., Milligan, S.P., Readdy, L.,

Taylor, N. and Brown, M.J. 2012. Spawning and nursery grounds of selected fish species in UK waters. Sci. Ser. Tech. Rep., Cefas Lowestoft, 147: 56pp

³ S. Thompson: 2014 Composition of commercial finfish catches. Eastern IFCA Research Report.

⁴ M.Armstrong, A.Brown, J.Hargreaves, K.Hyder, S.Pilgrim-Morrison, M.Munday, S.Proctor, A.Roberts, K.Williamson: 2013. Sea Angling 2012 – a survey of recreational sea angling activity and economic value in England.

important recreational species. Recreational landings are not included in MMO landings figures, but the activity plays an important economic role within the district.

Gear related impacts – Fishing activity has impacts beyond the effects on the targeted species. Damage to habitats for example varies between gear, some gears have greater ecosystem impacts.

Ecosystem functioning – Fishing activities can result in impacts on target species, other marine life and supporting habitats. Indirect impacts could include disruption to food webs, biodiversity loss, changes in the structure of biological communities or a reduced resilience to natural or anthropogenic changes. Such impacts are more difficult to detect and manage than direct impacts, but an attempt has been made to consider this when looking at management measures.

General biology – General population dynamics are known for most commercially important species. Aspects of the general biology (for example age at sexual maturity) are assessed in relation to sustainability.

Political/social context – In addition to prioritising fisheries by risk, there are also political and social drivers for change, for example Defra's revised approach to fisheries management and the landing obligation.

OSPAR requirements - Consideration has been given to obligations under the Oslo / Paris Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention). This consideration has been based on species and habitats listed within the "List of Threatened and/or Declining Species & Habitats" in OSPAR Region 2, Greater North Sea. Requirements on Eastern IFCA are identified in Appendix 2 ("Summary of Eastern IFCA commitments and planned actions under OSPAR").

In summary, it is evident that the existing approaches and activities of Eastern IFCA generally satisfy obligations under the OSPAR Convention, and that additional requirements are limited to informing relevant authorities should we become aware of the presence of certain, generally very rare, species or habitats.

External Influences – Eastern IFCA recognise that as a pubic body, we will be influenced by factors beyond our control that may change the strategic landscape throughout the year. This may impact workstreams and how they are prioritised. The strategic assessment therefore represents a snapshot in time of priorities and will be subject to change throughout the year should there be changes required due to external influences.

1.2.3 Fisheries management in Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)

Protection of MPAs from potential impacts of fishing activity is a fundamental obligation of Eastern IFCA outlined in the Marine and Coastal Access Act (2009) ⁵, which is

⁵ Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (c.23) s.153 and 154

afforded a high priority. This is factored into the additional assessment for each fishery (Section 2.1).

The majority of the Eastern IFCA district is protected by MPAs. These sites contain a range of species and habitat features that require protection, in order to maintain site integrity. An on-going work-stream to assess the impacts of commercial fishing activities within MPAs has identified where management is required. Assessments account for the type and current levels of fishing activity but these will potentially change over time. The intention of assessments is to ensure that fishing activities are not having an adverse effect on the overall integrity of the MPAs; this work is guided by conservation advice provided by Natural England.

Eastern IFCA routinely collects data to monitor fishing activity and compliance within managed areas. Eastern IFCA is required to demonstrate responsive monitoring and management of fisheries in MPAs. Following the completion of fisheries assessments in MPAs, monitoring and control plans will be developed to show how Eastern IFCA will monitor and respond to changes in fishing activity,

Table 1 (below) lists marine protected areas within the Eastern IFCA district and indicates the key fisheries management issues for each site and the priority associated with the potential fisheries risks in each site.

Eastern IFCA is developing monitoring and control plans (M&CPs) to demonstrate how fishing activities within the district are monitored and managed in light of changes in fishing activity. The intention is to allow responsive management. Eastern IFCA will create M&CPs for each major fishing metier in the district, where appropriate, MPA-specific controls will be specified. The order of priority is in table 2 below, priority has been based on factors including levels of fishing effort, economic importance of the fishery and potential impact of the fishery on MPA features.

Table 1. MPAs within Eastern IFCA's district.				
Site name	Key issues for fisheries management	Priority		
Humber Estuary Special	Majority of these two sites are within neighbouring IFCA district. North-Eastern IFCA leading assessment of	Low		
Protection Area (SPA),	these two sites. Management measures in place for the protection of eelgrass in Eastern IFCA part of SAC			
Humber Estuary Special Area	(Eastern IFCA Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2016). The measures are under review in 2020/21.			
of Conservation (SAC)	Potential cockle fisheries (Horseshoe Point) will have to take account of bird food dynamics and disturbance.			
Gibraltar Point SPA	Has been provisionally assessed; no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity. Stakeholder interest in fishing activity interactions with protected bird species within this site.	Low		
The Wash and North Norfolk	Annual cockle and mussel fisheries managed under the Wash Fishery Order (WFO) are assessed and	Hiah		
Coast SAC	managed in accordance with the site's conservation objectives. Management in place (spatial closures for			
	bottom towed gear) for vulnerable features within The Wash embayment and along north Norfolk coast. Initial			
	closures implemented via Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2016 and additional closures via replacement			
	Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2018 and Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2019. Additional measures to			
	manage effort in remainder of site to be implemented via Shrimp Permit Byelaw during 2019/20. Management			
	measures are also potentially required for the protection of Sabellaria reef and sub-tidal stony communities			
	from pot fishing activity.			
The Wash SPA	Annual cockle and mussel fisheries managed under the WFO are assessed and managed in accordance with	High		
	the site's conservation objectives. Other, non-WFO fisheries has been provisionally assessed and no adverse			
	effects determined at current levels of activity.			
North Norfolk Coast SPA	Has been provisionally assessed and no adverse effect determined at current levels of activity.	Low		
Cromer Shoal Chalk Beds	Measures progressed (to be implemented via Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2019) to exclude towed	High		
Marine Conservation Zone	demersal gear from vulnerable chalk and peat feature areas of site. Assessment ongoing into potential impacts			
(MCZ)	from potting fisheries on chalk features. MCZ area is of huge importance to inshore potting fishery and wider			
	North Norfolk communities. Requirement to better understand chalk feature characteristics, extent and			
	frequency of exposure in sediment-dominant areas of site. Close liaison with Natural England to improve			
	understanding of site features and sensitivities.			
Breydon Water SPA	Has been provisionally assessed; no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity.	Low		
Alde, Ore & Butley Estuaries	Has been provisionally assessed; no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity.	Low		
Alde & Ore Estuaries SPA	Has been provisionally assessed: no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity	Low		
Orfordness to Shinale Street	Has been provisionally assessed: no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity	Low		
SAC				

Deben Estuary SPA	Has been provisionally assessed; no adverse effects determined at current levels of activity.	Low
Stour and Orwell Estuaries	Bait digging highlighted as potential cause of disturbance to over-wintering birds; assessment to be updated	Low
SPA	following NE advice. Natural England lead on management of the bait digging activity at this site.	
Inner Dowsing, Race Bank &	Eastern IFCA to manage the 0-6nm part of this site, which also extends beyond 12mn offshore.	High
North Ridge SAC	Sabellaria reef requires protection from towed demersal gear; Eastern IFCA to implement regulation for this	
	purpose. Other fishing impacts (including potting) to be assessed.	
Haisborough, Hammond &	Eastern IFCA to manage the 0-6nm part of this site, which extends beyond 12mn offshore.	Medium
Winterton SCI	Sabellaria reef requires protection from towed demersal gear; measures progressed (to be implemented via	
	Marine Protected Areas Byelaw 2019). Other fishing impacts (including potting) to be assessed.	
Outer Thames Estuary SPA	MMO undertook assessment of original SPA, which extends from the coast to beyond 12nm. No adverse	Medium
(including extended areas)	effects identified at current levels of activity. Site extended in 2018: EIFCA has undertaken preliminary	
	assessment of extension areas within Eastern IFCA district; no adverse effects identified.	
Greater Wash SPA	Site designated in 2018. Extensive site where range of commercial fisheries take place; assessment of	Medium
	commercial fisheries required.	
Southern North Sea SAC	Fully designated in 2019; designated for Harbour porpoise. Extensive site (largest SAC in Europe); small	Medium
	proportion in inshore waters off Norfolk and Suffolk. Assessment of commercial fisheries required. National	
	approach likely to be required given size of site and mobile nature of protected species.	

Table 2. Prioritisation of monitoring and control plans					
	Level of activity	Economic value of	Potential impact		
Fishery	within MPAs	fishery in district	on MPA features	M&CP Priority	
Shrimp beam trawling	Н	Н	Н	High	
Demersal towed gears (excluding shrimp beam trawling)	L	L	Н	Medium	
Pelagic towed gears	L	М	L	Low	
Dredging	L	L	Н	Low	
Hand-working (access from land)	L	L	М	Low	
Hand-working (access from vessel)	Н	Н	М	High	
Static pots and traps	Н	Н	М	High	
Netting (incl. seine nets and other)	М	М	L	Medium	
Lines	L	М	L	Low	
Other	L	L	L	Low	

2. Results

Outputs from the data driven 'initial assessment' and subsequent consideration of contextual drivers (including fisheries management in MPAs) are set out in the tables below. Each fishery is given an overall risk rating (low, medium or high) and each assessment criteria category is also given a risk rating. Key species within each group are identified to ensure that group averages do not dilute the potential issues associated with a single species.

Potential work streams are then considered in relation to various outputs (e.g. additional data acquisition etc.); this is given a priority rank which draws on both the data driven initial assessment and contextual drivers.

Potential new work streams which are considered of a high priority are considered further in section 2.2. The assessment also identifies where risk of sustainability issues is being effectively mitigated by established works streams which have become 'business as usual'. These are set out in section 2.3 and are important when considering what additional work streams can be undertaken within the finite resources of the Authority. Lesser risk work streams are considered in section 2.4 with a view to identify potential future needs, beyond the 2020/21 financial year.

Group: Bivalve Molluscs	Key Species: Cockles, Mussels	Overall risk: Medium	
Evidence base	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance
Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: High	Initial assessment Rank: Medium
Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: High
Due to Eastern IFCA regulations prohibiting the use of fishing gear without authorisation, we have a good evidence base for key species within this group. This makes the score a low risk. It is noteworthy that the evidence base for fisheries outside the Wash is poor, particularly in regard to recreational fisheries. This does not reflect in the risk on the assessment due to these being marginal fisheries, and recreational fisheries not being recorded.	The dominant bivalve mollusc fisheries have a significant level of regulation in place (WFO and byelaws outside the Wash, as such, the assessment scores the group as a low risk. However, Eastern IFCA byelaws relating to bivalves are yet to be reviewed (since being inherited from ESFJC) and management of fisheries outside of The Wash will be hindered by the wording of these. The WFO 1992 has been subject to review since 2016. Several elements have progressed and are nearing completion however, progress has been hampered by other workstreams taking priority. Crucially, work relating to the replacement of the WFO (which	The high initial assessment rank score relates to Mollusc dredges (bottom towed gear) which have a high ecosystem impact rating. A suction dredge fishery is the highest risk fishery. In addition, fishing activity occurs within spawning grounds (although this is less relevant in terms of the biology of this group). Suction dredge cockle fisheries have not been permitted since 2008 in the Wash and regulation prohibits them without authorisation from Eastern IFCA (inside and outside of The Wash). Officers have undertaken a review of this fishery which indicates that the ecosystem impacts of such a fishery in The Wash could be mitigated. Mitigation would need to be developed and socio-economic impacts of this fishery need to be	Cockles dominate this category making up a high proportion of UK catch (weight and value). Cockle landings fluctuate depending on the size of the stock. Landings were high between 2016-2018, following two exceptional settlements, but are now declining again. In addition, three shellfish processing factories operate within the district which also rely, in part, on catch from this group. As such, catch associated with this group has wider value in providing shore-based jobs. Mussel fisheries in the district have previously contributed a significant proportion of national landings (more than 80%) but have declined significantly over the past decade. Officers have identified 'die-off' in both cockles
	expires in 2023) is delayed. The	considered to determine if this would	and mussels, the cause of which

2.1 Fisheries Assessment

	high contextual risk associated with these fisheries primarily reflects the need to develop an appropriate replacement for the WFO before it expires. In addition, WFO Lease conditions need to be amended to reflect the conditions set out in the Wash Biosecurity plan.	be possible. The revised Cockle Management Plan does not have any provision for a suction dredge fishery. Given that Eastern IFCA have regulation in place which manages the main fisheries within this group and that use of dredges or other bottom towed gear is not permitted without completion of an appropriate assessment, the risk to ecosystems is effectively mitigated. The dominant fishery is by hand- working (low impact) and this is managed, through byelaws and the WFO. The main fisheries occur within MPAs and have the potential to impact on site integrity without appropriate management and compliance (which is completed and highlighted within the business-as- usual: critical workstreams section).	has been investigated but cannot be confirmed. It is likely that in both cases the mortalities are due to a combination of environmental stresses that could include parasitic infestations and the impacts of spawning. While regular settlements are keeping the cockle stocks at healthy levels, the mussel beds are suffering poor recruitment. This, combined with the high mortalities, has resulted in significant decline of the mussel beds. In addition, concern has been raised regarding a trend towards smaller (younger) cockles being targeted within the hand-work cockle fishery with impacts on industry viability (due to lower value) and wider stock sustainability impacts. As such, a higher contextual risk is identified than from the initial assessment to reflect the concerns in performance of these two fisheries.
works & priority	Kationa		

New data / evidence acquisition Medium Priority	The evidence base for the dominant fisheries is well established and mechanisms are in place to continue gathering evidence as required. A review of the sampling regime for WFO stock assessments was undertaken and no further evidence requirements were identified. A project is being planned with Cefas to study the condition of the inter-tidal mussels in order to identify the cause of their decline.	 Gather information regarding recreational hand gathering.
Monitor / maintenance High Priority	Given the high economic and cultural value of the bivalve fisheries within the Wash and North Norfolk Coast, maintenance of current levels of monitoring and evidence gathering are required. As both the cockle and mussel stocks in The Wash and the cockle stocks at Horseshoe Point have been suffering from regular high natural mortality events in recent years, regular monitoring is also important. There are significant barriers to opening a fishery at Horseshoe Point. Other work includes data entry, enforcement and SWEEP (food availability monitoring).	 Annual cockle surveys; WFO licence holder consultation; Horseshoe Point cockle survey; Maintenance of fisheries data collection and database management SWEEP Review of Cockle Sampling regime, to identify whether a change to the number of sample stations could be implemented at a positive cost benefit Studying condition of the mussels to identify cause of mortalities.
Regulation High Priority	A work stream relating to the review of the WFO licence fees, regulations and policies is ongoing and behind schedule. This will potentially include the implementation of Inshore Vessel Monitoring System (IVMS) on the associated fishing fleet. The WFO expires in 2023 and its replacement will require significant amounts of review, legal advice and stakeholder consultation. Shellfish aquaculture is also managed through the WFO within The Wash, primarily through lease conditions. New lease conditions are required to reduce the risk of	 Continuation of review of WFO Regulations, Licence fees and Policies including dialogue with the industry; Implementation of new WFO Shellfish Lay lease conditions; Development of WFO replacement.

	biosecurity issues. In addition, recent non-compliance with the lease conditions has led to a review of the conditions and found that redrafting would be beneficial to provide more clarity.	 Review 'Humber Estuary Cockle Fisheries Byelaw' inherited from North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee.
	A court case involving the Le Strange fishery led to a 'unmanaged area' existing between the private and regulated fisheries. In 2018 Eastern IFCA implemented an emergency byelaw (The Wash Emergency Byelaw 2018). This byelaw is in the process of being replaced and such replacement is anticipated to be in place prior to the expiration of the emergency byelaw.	
	General management within the Le Strange is considered less of a risk given that only certain fishers are permitted to fish within the site and Natural England have put in place a fisheries management plan in consultation with the Le Strange estate. The Horseshoe Point cockle fishery is currently managed through an inherited byelaw which requires review.	
Engagement High Priority	The Wash fisheries are exploited by a range of differing business models which are often in conflict. In addition, the WFO has a long history and is a relatively complex regulatory mechanism. Further dialogue with the industry is required to develop policies to replace the interim measures currently in place.	 Continuation of WFO review – policy consultation with industry; Implementation of new WFO lease conditions
	A revised biosecurity plan has been developed and new lease conditions are in draft to implement identified actions, but this is subject to consultation prior to implementation.	 Awareness raising and education regarding biosecurity
Enforcement Medium Priority	Previous poor behaviours by a minority of fishers has driven the development of new regulations which are pending confirmation by the Minister. Implementation of additional education and awareness raising has reduced non-compliance with WFO Shellfish Lay Lease conditions.	 Engagement with fishers in relation to new WFO measures; Enforcement of WFO measures; Enforcement of WFO Shellfish Lay lease conditions.
Environment / ecosystems	The dominant bivalve fisheries within The Wash are compliant with the Habitats Directive as demonstrated by Habitat Regulations Assessments. Monitoring and Control plans are required to ensure continued compliance with the Directive. The	• Development of cockle fishery (suction dredge) and mussel fishery management plans for the WFO 1992 fisheries.

High Priority	 hand-work cockle fishery management plan (the dominant fishery within the district and The Wash) was completed and is in place. Wild Bivalve molluscs are vulnerable to biosecurity events, particularly in The Wash where aquaculture is also present. Non-compliance with lease requirements to notify Eastern IFCA of shellfish movements has been addressed and reduced risk in this area. Bivalve mollusc fisheries within The Wash are also potentially subject to impacts of aquaculture in relation to food availability – the ongoing monitoring programme (SWEEP) is informing on potential impacts and is still ongoing. In addition, mussel beds within the Wash have been exhibiting unexpected mortality, thought to be linked to a number of environmental stresses which could include the presence of a parasite and the impact of spawning behaviour. Partnership work with Hull university has been completed, but no conclusions could be drawn from the work. Eastern IFCA are continuing the work and detecting the cause of mortality remains a priority. A project is currently being planned with Cefas to study the condition of the mussels to help identify possible causes. Habitat Regulations Assessments for private bivalve fisheries have been completed by a partner organisation (Cefas) therefore no further input is required. 	 Development of relevant monitoring and control plans Implementation of new lease conditions identified as necessary within the Wash Biosecurity Plan Continued monitoring of Chlorophyll RFU values and mussel meat counts (SWEEP project) to inform the HRA associated with aquaculture in The Wash Continue to investigate cause of mussel mortality in The Wash.
Viable Industry High	The review of the WFO will have significant implications on industry viability, particularly in relation to the issuing of licences to fish within WFO fisheries. The current system has inhibited new entrants into the fishery whilst enabling others to maintain a licence which is effectively used by another person, circumventing	 Enabling lay activity Investigation into mussel die off Economic assessment of
Priority	Eastern IFCA's management of the system. In addition, concerns have been raised about lower value, smaller cockles being dominant in The Wash and the targeting of these by a majority of fishers. This in part reflects the 'die-off' of adult cockles on many beds after spawning. This has led to concerns regarding the viability of the hand-work fishery for larger vessels with higher operating costs in	 hand-work cockle fishery viability Review of WFO as highlighted in other sections.

	the context of the fishery having a two-tonne daily quota (i.e. with the lower value of cockle, two tonnes per day makes the fishery unviable). It should be noted that concerns for hand-work viability is not a view commonly shared.
	Further, continued viability of WFO lays is of increased importance given the continued declines of wild mussel beds.
Species trends	Cockle stocks were at high levels between 2016-2018 (primarily due to exceptional cockle settlements in 2014 and 2016. These declined in 2019 but remained above the average. Mussels have a negative trend (primarily due to a very large subtidal fishery in 2010 setting a high benchmark, followed by high mortalities and poor recruitment on the regulated inter-tidal beds). No landings of mussel have occurred. No emerging fisheries are detected in initial assessment.

Group: Crustaceans Key Species: Brown Crab, Lobster		Overall risk: High	
Evidence base	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance
Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Medium
Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: High
The group scores low in the initial assessment which reflects a high level of data collection (including effort data). However, the current evidence base associated with the two-main species (edible crab and lobster) does not have the spatial resolution to fully inform management and is of relatively low confidence due to the data collection methods (fishery dependent). MSAR data is also augmented by scientific (length frequency) data collection although this has been found to be insufficient in relation to lobsters. Additional data is required to inform the Cromer Shoal MCZ impact assessment including social and economic impacts on fishers if management measures are required.	The majority of species within this group are not regulated but reflect marginal fisheries. The two dominant species (crabs and lobsters) do have associated national and IFCA management measures in place covering two key areas (minimum sizes and capacity limitation). These management measures are subject to an ongoing review which reflects that the measures in place are insufficient to conclude that the fisheries are operating at maximum sustainable yield. Stakeholders have also indicated need for additional measures, although there is no clear consensus as to what the measures should be.	These fisheries are dominated by potting fisheries which score low for ecosystem impacts. However, the assessment of pot-based fisheries within Cromer Shoal is ongoing and new evidence has indicated that there is the potential for damage to occur although the scale and potential for impact on the conservation objectives are still unknown. The higher risk associated with this fishery reflects this.	Landed value and weight is high for two key species (brown crab and lobster) and scientific advice (CEFAS) indicates that both stocks are being exploited at levels exceeding maximum sustainable yield. The initial assessment score for this group is medium, but this is largely due to species that have been landed but in low weights. Weights for key species Brown crab and Lobster are 3 rd and 6 th respectively. Value of catch ranked 1 st and 3 rd respectively. In addition, some fishery stakeholders have raised concerns about excessive fishing effort (particularly on the North Norfolk Coast) including the landing of poor- quality female crabs over autumn and winter primarily to be sold as bait for other fishers.
Category of works & Priority	Rationale		Potential works

New data / evidence acquisition High Priority	 Further fishing activity data is needed in general but particularly in relation to potting within the Cromer Shoal MCZ to complete an impact assessment. Under ten catch recording has replaced the Monthly Shellfish Catch Recording forms (MSARs) and this system is likely to provide better data by virtue of it being entered by fishers directly into the database via a smart phone app (i.e. reducing level of human error during input). However, additional spatial information is required to undertake Eastern IFCA assessments and this is subject to dialogue with the MMO and Cefas. In addition, further evidence is required to assess the lobster fisheries productivity. 	 Partnership work with Cefas and MMO to develop under ten reporting (which replaced MSAR forms) as higher spatial resolution and effort data is needed; Additional length frequency data needed for lobsters to inform MSY models; Potting activity within the Cromer Shoal MCZ to inform an impact assessment.
Monitor / maintenance Medium priority	Current levels of data collection are limited but need to be maintained and furthered to prevent any increases in risk.	 Continue crab and lobster bio- sampling regime to inform development of MSY models; Develop sampling regime to potentially collect information about catches (rather than landings) and collect information about weight and the length/weight relationship. Monitor effort levels to assess if increases are occurring.
Regulation High Priority	Whilst the fisheries are thought to be operating at levels exceeding those required for maximum sustainable yield, they are not currently thought to be in imminent danger of collapse and the fisheries are already regulated more than other fisheries.That said, recent increases in potting effort (reported anecdotally) has increased risk in this context, with no current regulation in place to limit effort in terms of pot numbers. Subject to the outcomes of the assessment of potting within the MCZ, regulation may also be required for the protection of features within the MCZ.	 Development of management measures in relation to crab and lobster fisheries sustainability Development of management measures (as required) for the protection of the Cromer Shoal MCZ Development of relevant monitoring and control plans

Engagement High Priority	The crab and lobster fisheries on the N. Norfolk coast are not only of high economic importance but also cultural importance. Engagement is required to develop fisheries sustainability measures and management of potting activity within the Cromer Shoal MCZ (as required) both of which have the potential to impact on fishing activity.	 Engagement to gather information for the MCZ assessment; Engagement to develop management measures;
Enforcement Low Priority	Compliance in relation to the key species is generally good. Current levels of presence/engagement need to be maintained to deter non-compliance. If new measures are introduced, enforcement risk and priority would likely increase to reflect compliance engagement and education.	 Continue routine engagement and compliance checks in accordance with the Compliance Risk Register and TCG. Development / training in relation to berried lobster ban for IFCOs.
Environment / ecosystems High Priority	An assessment of impacts of fishing activity in relation to the Cromer Shoal MCZ needs to be undertaken. Monitoring and control plans will be required as this activity takes place predominantly within MPAs. There have been issues raised in relation to biosecurity for this fishery particularly in relation to the bait used in pots.	 Development of relevant Monitoring and control plans Cromer Shoal MCZ – fishing impact assessment
Viable Industry High Priority	The development of crab and lobster sustainability measures will include extensive dialogue with the industry to ensure that the short-term impacts of any measures on fishing viability are understood. Initiatives started by the industry are being considered including the use of escape gaps. In addition, the use of any 'edible' crab as bait is presently restricted under an Eastern IFCA byelaw. Other IFCA's make an exception for cooked offal which would otherwise to go waste. This will be reviewed alongside the development crab and lobster measures. The Marine Conservation Society have assessed the Southern North Sea edible crab and lobster fisheries as 'fishery requires improvement' as part of their 'good fish guide'. This rating has the potential to limit the market that the fishery can supply, with some buyers reportedly only buying in accordance with this guide. In the first instance, dialogue is needed with the producers of the guide to ensure that	 Engagement in relation to the development of measures. Dialogue with Marine Conservation Society regarding 'Good Fish Guide' assessment for <i>Cancer pagurus</i> and <i>Homarus gammarus</i> in Southern North Sea Facilitation of the development of a Fisheries Improvement Plan.

	the assessments reflect the best available evidence. The assessment does however broadly align with the Eastern IFCA assessment, i.e. that stocks assessments indicate that the stock is approaching or at maximum sustainable yield and that there are limited management measures in place to compliment national measures.
	Development of a Fisheries Management Plan (FIP) will be of benefit to industry viability as retailers have identified that such plans bring consumer confidence. These are effectively a 'pre-assessment' of a fishery against the criteria set out in the MSC accreditation process and which identifies areas where the fishery can improve sustainability. Such a plan would be analogous with Eastern IFCA's process for identifying and implementing management measures and there is potential that a FIP would be of wider benefit, effectively implementing voluntary measures which have effect outside of the Eastern IFC District.
Species trends	Velvet swimming crabs show a negative trend. Annual landed weights of velvet swimming crabs have declined from a peak (20 tonnes) in 2011 to 1.1 tonnes in 2018 (This is possibly due to environmental factorse.g. warm winter, favouring velvet crabs in 2010-2011, resulting in an increased abundance). Catches have also always been very variable and can be dependent on fluctuating markets. Green Crab shows a positive trend, prior to 2018, when there were no landings. The weight landed is low and this is seen as a marginal fishery.
	Brown Crab show a strong positive trend, with 2018 having particularly high landings at 3211 tonnes. This is over double the average for the 8 year period and represents the 3 rd largest landing of any species in 2018. Lobster displays a relatively stable trend throughout the 8 year period, although the landed weight in 2018 is the lowest seen during this period, however this is only marginally so.

Group:	Key Sp	ecies: Bass, Cod	Overall risk: Medium	
Demersal Evidence base		Current Pagulation	Easystem imposts	Ficharica parformance
Evidence base	Doply	Lipitial appagement Danky Medium	Leosystem impacts	Initial apparement Dank
	капк.		miliai assessment Rank. Mealum	linual assessment Rank.
meanann				2011
Contextual Rank: M	ledium	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: Medium
The evidence h	ase in	Eastern IECA has only limited	Demersal fishing gears include	Whilst demersal fisheries
relation to d	emersal	management measures in place	bottom-towed-gears which score	are low risk in the initial
fisheries is	limited.	however, these fisheries are heavily	highly for potential ecosystem impacts	assessment a proportion
particularly in rela	ation to	managed through national and	(particularly habitat damage) and nets	of economic value is not
effort data. That sai	d, many	European measures.	which have the potential to remove	thought to be detected by
of the species repr	esented		large number of fish very efficiently.	the MMO landings data
have ICES	stock	European measures were amended	Where these are deployed within	used in the assessment
assessments und	dertaken	significantly in 2019 to reflect the new	nursery or spawning areas, there is	(under ten catch recording
which provide a	strong	Common Fisheries Policy and	the potential for disproportionately	was implemented end of
evidence base. In a	addition,	particularly the landing obligation. As	large impacts in wider stocks. This is	2019 so cannot inform this
under ten-metre	vessels	a result of the amendments,	compounded by the existence on	assessment). In addition,
are now required to		European measures (including	'unregulated netting' and the absence	some species are
catch returns wh	ICN WIII	minimum landing sizes and mesh	of any designated bass nursery areas	particularly valuable even
data Eurthor activit	n calch	apply to pop-commorcial fishers	despite their presence being known	In small quantities (e.g.
required in relation	y uala is	This has increased the contextual	despite their presence being known.	particularly relevant in
levels of use of	dear in	risk associated with this category as	Potential impacts on MPAs have been	Suffolk where many small-
relation to eco	osvstem	fishing mortality from non-	mitigated through continued	scale fishers land small
impacts and protection of		commercial fishing activity can be	development of restricted areas to	amounts and sell direct to
MPA features although this		high for some species (for example	protect designated features and sub-	the public. Therefore, the
is mitigated in part	t by the	bass and cod). In addition, this	features at risk from this activity.	economic importance of
under ten catch re	cording.	compounds the risk associated with	Whilst some of these are yet to be	these fisheries is
Netting activity	data is	'unregulated netting' particularly	implemented (as they are still subject	potentially
potentially require	d at a	which was perceived as a risk in any-	to the byelaw making processes) and	underestimated. Figures

higher resolution in relation to bycatch of porpoises, seals and seabirds. Catch recording also does not fill the data gap of unregulated and recreational netting.		case, especially in the context of fishing with nets in rivers and estuaries due to the unknown scale of such activities. This has been mitigated in part (for minimum landing sizes) through the implementation of an emergency byelaw, the replacement for which is in the process of being made. However, this exercise also highlighted that many minimum sizes	(in relation to Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge particularly), this does represent significant mitigation against this risk. This mitigation is responsible for downgrading the associated risk to medium overall. Risk on MPA features and sub- features still exists in relation to birds and cetaceans as these are yet to be		do not include recreational activity which has wider ranging economic benefit. These species have a high value at certain times of the year which can mean that they are a very important fishery on an individual basis if not in a broader economic sense. Possible increase in
		set out in European legislation have only a limited effect in any case – often they do not represent the size that the species become mature. Whilst this increases risk, the current minimum sizes do still have some protective effect and so risk is not considered high.	e and cetaceans as these are yet to be number of assessed. e should be moni would increase relation to this sport		number of vessels targeting mullet, which should be monitored as would increase risk in relation to this species.
Category of works/ Priority		Rationale			Potential works
New data / evidence acquisition	Effort and fisheries data are not necessarily required from a 'stock management' eregander perspective but is required for MPA management and fishing in spawning and nursery areas. Further evidence is potentially required in relation to the presence of spawning and nursery areas within the district, given the changes in water temperature • Correct increasing importance of BNA. Collection of better fisheries data in relation to these of the second				ntinue to support the MMO arding the implementation under 10 catch reporting. ntinue to provide evidence
Priority	fisheries was unsuccessful led by the MM element how available to i	view the applicability and ty of collecting voluntary peries data.			

	activity (both recreational and commercial) would bring benefits across multiple workstreams.	•	Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity data relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises.
Monitor / maintenance Medium Priority	Development of 'unregulated netting' measures was a 2016/17 priority but Eastern IFCA involvement in BNA development is likely to have a similar benefit (in relation to the impacts of netting in estuaries and rivers) although, any gaps left by the BNA work will need to be identified through the continuation (or re-evaluation) of unregulated netting.	•	Re-assess needs for 'un- regulated netting' measures in the context of BNA's. Monitor uptake of Wrasse fisheries.
	In other areas around England live wrasse fisheries have come under increasing pressure due to their use as cleaner fish in fish farms. Wrasse take a long time to reach sexual maturity and therefore are vulnerable to over exploitation. It is not thought that the required habitat for this species is extensive on the coast of this district which will limit the fishery.		
Regulation Medium Priority	Demersal species are heavily regulated by national and European measures. Whilst monitoring and control plans are necessary for bottom-towed-gears, impacts from their use are mitigated through the development of restricted areas where the gear is prohibited. Unregulated netting is thought to occur within the district at unknown levels. Nets are very effective methods of capturing fish and as such, pose a risk to stocks particularly when occurring in nursery or spawning areas. This issue is highlighted as part of the	•	Consideration of 2020 bass measures in relation to ICES stock assessments and local conditions; Partnership working in relation to the development of BNA; Implement Minimum Sizes Byelaw 2019;
	Angling Trust's Dossier on Inshore Netting Reform. The emergence of BNA within the district and the planned introduction of such reduces the risk in relation to 'unregulated netting' however this Defra-led workstream has not progressed in the last two years Eastern IFCA contribution to this work will mitigate the associated risk once the workstream continues again. In addition, recently imposed bass regulations (European Commission measures) have reduced the associated risk in a strategic sense as both commercial and recreational fishers are heavily restricted.	•	Investigate need for increased minimum sizes for fish and shellfish and application of net mesh requirements to non- commercial fishers.

	Risk is upgraded to medium to reflect the significant gap in European regulations resultant of the recent amendments to technical conservation measures and having identified that the minimum sizes set out therein have a limited protective effect in some cases. A workstream to mitigate this started in 2019/20 including the drafting of a byelaw to maintain the protective effect lost as a result of the amendments. Further work will be required in 2020/2021 to implement the byelaw and consider what further measures may be required (including if applying net mesh size requirements on non-commercial fishers is required).		
Engagement Medium Priority	Consultation will be required to implement the Minimum Sizes Byelaw 2019 and to consider appropriate minimum sizes and net mesh requirements.	•	Engagement with RSA to obtain fisheries data; Engagement with fishers regarding BNA and other bass measures; Consultation for Minimum Sizes Byelaw 2019;
Enforcement High Priority	Compliance with European bass measures is of high risk given the unfavourable state of the bass stocks. Engagement with commercial and recreational fishers is required to ensure understanding of the measures. Further enforcement may be required to ensure compliance with the landing's obligation. Enforcement (including education and engagement) of new closed areas will be required as these are implemented through 2020. That said, these closures primarily effect shrimp fishing activity rather than demersal trawling for finfish.	•	Bass related enforcement and engagement; Intel gathering and partnership working with MMO (bass and landing obligation.
Environment / ecosystems Medium Priority	Impacts of fixed and drift netting to be considered in relation to SPA bird species and porpoises. Bottom-towed-gear management in relation to 'red-risk' gear/habitat interactions has progressed during 2019 and are nearing completion to the effect that risk has reduced. Implementation is pending byelaw making procedures rather than development of proposed closures.	•	Monitoring and control plans; Undertake gap analysis of impacts data relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises; Implementing management measures for 'red-risk'

		gear/feature interactions within MPAs.
Viable	Certain fishers rely almost entirely on a limited number of species (cod, bass, sole,	Explore initiatives to invigorate
Industry	works which could reduce reliance on these few species would likely be of benefit to	the herring fishery;
Medium	the viability of the industry and the fisheries in the long-term. In particular, there is	REAF project.
Priority	significant latent capacity in the herring fishery of East Anglia which was once a	
	prominent fishery. Eastern IFCA is engaging with the REAF which aims to resolve	
	some of the issues identified in this regard.	
Species	A strong negative trend is seen in cod landings however this is most likely driven	by EU and national level quota
trends	management. Late 2016, 2017 and 2018 saw very low abundance of cod, missing its us	sual winter peak. No other species
	show strong trends in addition to appreciable landed weights. No high-risk trends are of	detected.
	Bass landings in 2018 were appreciably higher than any of the previous 7 years at	19.5 tonnes (average of 7 years
	previous 12.85 tonnes). National landings of this species remain stable (following EU b	bass measure implementation).
	Cod and Bass respectively are 8th and 13th with regards to landed weight. They are	e also 8 th and 9 th respectively for
	economic value. The economic reliance on these two species is high for certain fishe	rs. MMO landing figures for Bass
	show that within our district fishing mortality has gone up slightly from 2016 to 2017 a	and into 2018. The aim of the EU
	measures was a 50% reduction in fishing mortality. This has not been the case in	our district. Therefore, there was
	potentially a lot of latent capacity with the management measures that were implement	ted in our district.

Group: Dogfish and Sharks	Key Species: L.S.D.	Overall risk: Low
---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

Evidence base	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries	performance
Initial assessment	Initial assessment Rank:	Initial assessment Rank:	Initial ass	essment Rank: Low
Rank: High	Medium	Medium		
Contextual Rank:	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextua	al Rank: Low
Medium				
Fisheries evidence is	Some species within the	Most fishing is	ICES adv	rice is generally favourable for dogfish but
poor including effort	group are subject to no-	conducted via longlines	poor for s	harks (sharks are however generally subject
and catch data	take restricts (i.e. most	and nets which have	to no-take	e restrictions). None of these fisheries are
(especially given their	sharks). Dogfish have	limited ecosystem	particular	y important from an economic perspective
use as bait species).	limited regulation and are	impacts although some	and, with	one exception represent less than 0.02% of
This will be mitigated to	thought to be biologically	are caught as	UK total of	atch (lesser-spotted-dogfish LSD) being the
an extent through catch	vulnerable to recruitment	unintended by-catch via	exception	at 0.65% which is decreased since previous
recording requirements	over-fishing although it is	trawls. Given the small	years). M	any dogfish species are likely to be more
for under ten-metre	recognised that they have	proportion of UK	important	as bait for other fisheries (and may be under
fishing vessels.	a higher survivability than	landings taken from	recorded	as a result). ICES advice is currently
	other species, reducing	within the district,	favourable	e for lesser-spotted-dogfish.
However, the level of	their vulnerability. Fishing	impacts on spawning		
catch retained for the	mortality is thought to be	and nursery areas are	Activity w	ithin the district is relatively limited, does not
purpose of using it as	relatively low within the	likely to be limited,	represent	a significant proportion of UK landings and
bait is not well	district, according to	relative to other target	is within I	CES advice. A key message that came from
understood and as	landings data.	species.	fishers is	that catches of spurdog are very high and
such a risk remains.			they shou	ld be able to land them.
	Eastern IFCA byelaw 14			
	prohibits the removal of			
	Tope.			
Category of		Rationale		Potential works
works/Priority				
New data / evidence	Except for LSD, all the sp	ecies are marginal with re	gards to	• Develop mechanism to monitor levels of
acquisition	landed weight, have favou	rable ICES advice or are	no-take	LSD use as bait to gain better
Low Priority	species. LSD are an im	portant bait species with	in other	understanding of overall fishing mortality;
	fisheries (e.g. crab and lo	bster) and as such, landed	d weight	

	indicated from MMO data is potentially an underestimate of catch.	• Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity data relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises.
Monitor / maintenance Low Priority	Eastern IFCA are involved with the Cefas led Elasmobranch Steering Group, which might at some stage conduct some research into the impacts of windfarm cables on elasmobranchs. Continuation (and some further development) of voluntary landings data work streams are beneficial particularly in relation to lesser-spotted-dogfish. This work requires review in the context of national changes to legislation to see if it is still required.	 Partnership working with CEFAS re shark / dogfish research where possible; Review/development of voluntary landings data.
Regulation Low Priority	None identified.	None identified
Engagement Low Priority	Given the limited available data, dialogue with the industry is important to detect changes in activity levels or emerging fisheries. However, the levels of fishing activity are considered low within the district which reflects the lower priority.	 None identified
Enforcement Low Priority	There is limited regulation which can be enforced (except for Tope for which there is an Eastern IFCA byelaw).	• Continue routine engagement and compliance checks in accordance with the compliance risk register and TCG.
Environment / ecosystems Medium Priority	Monitoring and control plans prioritise areas where this is not a primary fishery but will ultimately be considered through plans (primarily in Suffolk estuaries). Impacts of fixed and drift netting to be considered in relation to SPA bird species and porpoises. Bottom-towed-gear management is also required in relation to 'red-risk' gear/habitat interactions although activity levels within this fishery are relatively low.	 Development of relevant monitoring and control plans; Undertake gap analysis of impacts evidence relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises; Implement management measures for 'red-risk' gear/feature interactions within MPAs.
Viable Industry Medium Priority	Spurdog catches can be very high at certain times of the year, in certain locations (currently a zero TAC species). Fishers have reported that they have to discard large amounts, and this	• Work with partner organisations to report this issue from fishers.

	is both time consuming and makes long lining unfeasible at
	certain times of the year. The high abundance of spurdog is a
	phenomenon local to the south east of the North Sea, with
	overall low stocks. The possibility of a "Sentinel Fishery"
	approach (as used of the SW of England) will require continued
	interaction of the industry with the CEFAS project for some
	time.
Species trends	LSB show a strong positive trend with annual landed weight increasing from 1 tonne in 2010 to 9 tonnes in
	2016 which dropped to 4 tonnes in 2017 and 1.4 tonnes in 2018. Whilst this is a modest annual landed weight
	(and circa 1% of UK landed weight). It is also important to note that a certain amount of catches of these
	species will not be reported due to its use as bait in potting fisheries. Smoothound landings are the other
	species of note within this group. Landings have been consistent with no strong trend. However, this is likely
	to be due to quota/fisheries management rather than species trends.

Group: Flatfish	Key Speci	es: Sole, Plaice,	Overall risk: Medium		
	Flounder,	Dab			
Evidence base		Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance	
Initial assessme	nt Rank:	Initial assessment Rank:	Initial assessment Rank: High	Initial assessment Rank:	
Medium		Medium		Medium	
Contoxtual Bank:		Contoxtual Pank:	Contextual Bank: Modium	Contextual Bank: Medium	
Contextual Rank.	LOW	Medium			
Eastern IFCA evic	lence base	Eastern IFCA has only	Demersal fishing gears include bottom-	Whilst flatfish fisheries are	
in relation to flatfis	sh fisheries	limited management	towed-gears, which score highly for potential	not detected as a particularly	
is limited, parti	cularly in	measures in place	ecosystem impacts and nets which have the	high risk within the initial	
relation to effort	data. That	however, these fisheries	potential to remove a large number of fish	assessment, a proportion of	
said, many of th	ie species	are generally managed	very efficiently. Where these are deployed	economic value is not	
represented ha	ve ICES	through national and	within nursery or spawning areas, there is	thought to be detected by the	
stock as	sessments	European measures.	the potential for disproportionately large	current MMO landings data.	
undertaken which	provide a	However, due to gaps in	impacts in wider stocks. This is	In addition, some species	
strong evidence	base. In	the national legislative	compounded by the existence on	are particularly valuable	
addition, under	ten-metre	system, unregulated	'unregulated netting'.	even in small quantities (e.g.	
vessels are now	required to	netting is thought to		sole). This is thought to be	
submit catch retu	irns which	occur. This tends to be	Potential impacts on MPAs have been	particularly relevant in	
will resolve the ga	ap in catch	undertaken by small	mitigated through continued development of	Suffolk where many small-	
data. Further acti	vity data is	scale fishers but when	restricted areas to protect designated	scale fishers land small	
required in relati	on to the	undertaken in nursery or	reatures and sub-reatures at risk from this	amounts and sell direct to	
levels of use o	r gear in	spawning areas, does	activity. Whilst many of these are yet to be	the public. Inerefore, the	
relation to	ecosystem	have the potential to	implemented (as they are still subject to the	economic importance of	
impacts and protection of hav		have disproportionately	byelaw making processes) and others may	these fisheries is potentially	
MPA features although this large impacts on		large impacts on wider	need to be implemented (in relation to inner	underestimated, particularly	
is considered of a lower risk stocks.		STOCKS.	Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge	when considering the that a	
given relatively low activity			particulariy), this does represent significant	large proportion of fishing	
levels. Netting activity data is			mitigation against this risk. This mitigation is	activity is also recreational	
potentially req	uired in		responsible for downgrading the associated	which tends to generate a	
relation to by	catch of		risk to medium overall.	wider ranging economic	

porpoises and SPA bird species. Any unregulated and recreational netting occurring in the district will persist as a data gap.		Pa	Risk on MPA features and sub-features still exists in relation to birds and cetaceans as these are yet to be assessed. Entangling nets used also have a relatively high ecosystem impact score given the high levels of bycatch, particularly in relation to the mixed fisheries.	benefit. ICES advice is favourable for the highest landed weight species (including sole).
works / Priority		i a		
New data / evidence acquisition Low Priority	The implement element to an commercial fis this group tha amendments to relation to non- higher spatial r species.	tation of under ten catch r extent. Whilst no data is av hing activity) it is thought to n for demersal and pelagi o European regulation whi -commercial fishing which i resolution may be required to	 Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises; Development of sole fishing activity data (data sharing agreement with MMO). Collect information around amount of bycatch of these species 	
Monitor / maintenance Low Priority	The developm relation to this gear activity h currently assoc	 Re-assess needs for 'un- regulated netting' measures in the context of BNA development. 		
Regulation Medium Priority	Flatfish specie amendments requirements t are a commerc size and whice	s are generally regulated b to European Regulation f to non-commercial fishers a cially important species with ch are targeted by recrea	by national and European measures however, have removed application of minimum size and mesh size requirements. Given that sole hin this group which are subject to a minimum ational fishers, risk increases in relation to	 None identified

	regulation. The priority has been increased to medium as a consequence. Whilst monitoring and control plans are necessary for bottom-towed-gears, it is unlikely that regulation will be required initially in relation to these fisheries due to low levels of activity using this metier. In addition, development of restricted areas where use of bottom towed gear is prohibited has almost concluded and several such restrictions are implemented which reduces risk in relation to MPA management.	
Engagement Medium Priority	Additional fisheries data from under ten catch recording has reduced risk for this element but activity in relation to 'unregulated netting' and recreational activity in general is still dependant on engagement with stakeholders. In addition, engagement will be required in relation to determining if minimum sizes are appropriate.	 Engagement with RSA sector to obtain fisheries data; Engagement with fishers regarding BNA.
Enforcement Medium Priority	Flatfish fisheries are generally marginal although some high value species present a higher enforcement risk at certain times of the year. Given the full implementation of the Landing Obligation and under ten catch recording and recent changes to European technical measures (particularly in relation to gear requirements) education and engagement will be a priority element at peak fishing periods. Collaborative work with the MMO is also required.	 Continue routine engagement and compliance checks in accordance with the Compliance Risk Register and TCG; Educate and engage in relation to 'new' measures.
Environment / ecosystems Low Priority	Ecosystem impacts related to use of bottom towed gear for this group are largely mitigated through the development of restricted areas to protect MPAs. Use of static and drift nets for this group has the potential to impact on cetaceans and SPA bird species although activity levels from a commercial perspective are thought to be low. Non-commercial fishing with nets in this group is likely to be more relevant and this will be addressed through development of monitoring and control plans.	 Monitoring and control plans; Undertake gap analysis of impacts data relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises.
Viable Industry Low Priority	Certain fishers rely almost entirely on a limited number of species (cod, bass, sole, skate) which are presently either in a poor state or heavily regulated. Any potential works which could reduce reliance on these few species would likely be of benefit to the viability of the industry and the fisheries in the long-term. In particular, there is significant latent capacity in the herring fishery of East Anglia which was once a prominent fishery.	 Explore initiatives to invigorate the herring fishery. Continue to work with the REAF project.

Species trends	Several species show a strong negative trend but only in relation to modest landed weights (1.6 tonnes down to less than 500kg between 2010 and 2015). Sole show a strong negative trend with landed weights reducing from 73 tonnes in 2010 to 30 tonnes in 2017 and 33 tonnes in 2018(loss circa £250,000 in value) and is relatively important in a national context (circa 3.4% of UK landings) although ICES advice indicates that the stock is in favourable condition.						
Group: Cepha	alopods		Overall risk: Low				
Evidence bas	se <u>se</u>	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries per	rformance		
Initial assessm	nent Rank: High	Initial assessment Rank: High	Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Low			
Contextual Ra	ınk: Low	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Ra	ank: Low		
Marginal fishe	ry with very limite	ed landings (less than 300 kg cor	mbined per annum).				
Category of v	vorks/ Rational		Rationale		Potential works		
New data acque Low Priority	uisition	Priority: Low – limited / margina	 Maintain a watching brief on landings and fishing trends 				
Monitor / main	Itenance	Priority: Low – limited / margina	al fishery		 None identified 		
Regulation Low Priority		Priority: Low – limited / margina limited effect.	I fishery, additional regulation wo	uld have very	 None identified 		
Engagement Low Priority		Priority: Low – limited / marginal fishery • None ide					
Enforcement Low Priority		Priority: Low – limited / marginal fishery • None identified					
Environment / Low Priority	ecosystems	Priority: Low – limited / margina	None identified				
Viable Industry	у	Priority: Low – limited / marginal fishery • None identified					
Species trends	S	None identified due to either no or very small landings of all species across this group					

Group: Pelagic	Key Species; Herring, Mackerel, Sprat	Overall risk: Low			
Evidence base	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance		
Initial assessment Rank: Medium	Initial assessment Rank: Medium	Initial assessment Rank: Medium	Initial assessment Rank: Low		
Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: Low	Contextual Rank: Low		
Netting activity data is required in relation to bycatch of porpoises and SPA bird species, but low levels of activity reduce the associated risk. Risk is further reduced as a result of the implementation of under ten catch recording.	European measures were amended in 2019 to reflect the new Common Fisheries Policy and particularly the landing obligation. As a result of the amendments, European measures (including minimum landing sizes and mesh requirements for nets) no longer apply to non-commercial fishers (or commercial fishing from an unpowered vessel). This has increased the contextual risk associated with this category as fishing mortality from non-commercial fishing activity is significant for some species (and particularly mackerel for this group). Whilst this compounds the risk associated with 'unregulated netting' this is not thought to be an important metier for this group and is more relevant for other species. This has been mitigated in part (for minimum landing sizes) through the implementation of an emergency byelaw, the replacement for which is in the process of being made. However, this exercise also highlighted that many minimum sizes for set out in European legislation have only a limited effect– often they do not represent the size that the species are mature. The Southern North Sea is a known spawning / nursery area for mackerel which is reflected in the minimum size for set out in the species are	Spawning aggregations can be targeted very effectively in these fisheries and this does represent a potential risk. EU measures are in place to reduce this impact. Associated gear is generally not considered to have impacts on MPA features but the development of MCPs will be necessary as will assessments of potential impacts in relation to purposes and SPA bird species. Fishers have reported issues regarding high levels of disturbance caused by seals. Reports have focused on seals damaging nets but	None of the species landed represent nationally important landed weights and value of catch is relatively low. ICES advice is generally favourable except for mackerel and horse mackerel. The herring fishery is exploited far below MSY due to the low market demand and value of the fishery. Historically there has been a winter sprat fishery in the district. This supplied bulk orders for fish meal etc. Poor market prices limited this fishery, but an increase in value or displacement from the brown shrimp fishery could see vessels target this fishery again where fishers		

	20cm for other parts of the UK). Levels of activity for other species in this group are thought to be low which lowers the associated risk.	bass rather than species within this group.	have retained the associated licence.
Category of works & Priority	Rationale		Potential works
New data acquisition Low Priority	Under ten meter catch recording has mitigated risk assoc Additional information may be required to assess impact SPA bird species. The relatively low levels of commercial risk and the priority has been downgraded as a low to ref	 Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises. 	
Monitor / maintenance Low Priority	There are no current workstreams relevant to this element ten catch recording has mitigated the need to gather volu	None identified.	
Regulation Low Priority	Regulated primarily through national and European me regulations will have limited impacts given low levels of relation to the protection of SPA bird species and management however, activity levels are considered low	easures. Eastern IFCA take. Assessments in porpoise may require at present.	None identified.
Engagement Low Priority	Previous years have shown that recreational fishers are of minimum sizes in the North Sea ecoregion, therefore the a greater amount of engagement.	often unaware of larger are is a requirement for	Continued dialogue with fishers to identify fishing trends
Enforcement Low Priority	Fisheries in this group are generally marginal however; been detected historically for mackerel. This is due to Southern North Sea and the area being a spawning area	MCRS offences have a higher MCRS in the	 Continue routine engagement and compliance checks in accordance with TCG process.
Environment / ecosystems Medium Priority	Monitoring and control plans prioritise areas where this i but will ultimately be considered through plans. Impacts o to be considered in relation to SPA bird species and porp	s not a primary fishery of fixed and drift netting poises.	 Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises.
Viable Industry Medium Priority	Fishers within The Wash who historically targeted sp (issued by MMO) as a result of non-activity in that rega need of the industry to diversify and the potential uninten	rat have lost licences rd. This highlights the ded impacts of limiting	 Eastern IFCA to Continue to engage with REAF project

	access to fisheries, even when applying relatively wide qualification because some fisheries can be effectively dormant for in excess of a decade before they are exploited again. Priority in this regard is low as licencing of these fisheries is not in Eastern IFCA's control. The inability to diversify into this fishery may impact on other species which are targeted instead.
	Significant numbers of herring are landed at certain times of the year. Fishers can easily obtain a large quantity of this fish. However, market demand is minimal and price reflects this. The impact is that it is not usually economically viable for fishers to target this fishery. The REAF project is seeking to address this and Eastern IFCA is contributing to and engaging with this initiative.
Key Species /	Herring landings are relatively stable/increasing and represent less than 1% UK total landings but are the dominant
Species trends	landed weight within the group in the Eastern IFCA district. Horse mackerel show a strong negative trend, but
	andings are negligible (reduced from .8 of a tonne in 2010 to 20kg in 2016). There have been no recent sprat
	fisheries. Mackerel landings have remained low in the last 3 years following a peak in landings in 2014 and 2015.

Group: Shrimp / Prawns	Key Species: Brown	Overall risk: High	
Evidence base	Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance
Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Medium	Initial assessment Rank: High	Initial assessment Rank: Medium
Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: High
Eastern IFCA now has access to higher special resolution shrimp activity data including VMS data which has supported the assessment of fishing effort levels within The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC. This has substantially mitigated the risk previously identified.	The Shrimp Permit Byelaw 2018 is undergoing formal QA with the MMO and a permit scheme to implement measures to protect site integrity of the Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC are in development.	Shrimp trawling gear exhibits a high risk in relation to both habitat damage and by-catch impacts (particularly in nursery areas). Potential impacts on MPAs have been mitigated in part through continued development of restricted areas to protect designated features and sub-features at risk from this activity. Whilst many of these are yet to be implemented (as they are still	Brown shrimp (and to a lesser extent – pink shrimp) represent significant, nationally important fisheries. Landings of Pink shrimp have shown a strong negative trend over the last 6 years. This is in part
However, proposed management measures for effort limitation will require further data to be collected. In particular, data relating to the number of tows undertaken per trip needs to be collected to inform effort management	Measures for sustainable fishing is yet to be developed but officers have participated in the industry led, Marine Stewardship Council accreditation which is	subject to the byelaw making processes) and others may need to be implemented (in relation to Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge particularly), this does represent significant mitigation against this risk. This mitigation is responsible for downgrading the associated risk to medium overall.	thought to represent the lack of market demand however, pink shrimp are also strongly associated with Sabellaria reef which has been protected with restricted areas by Eastern IFCA which effectively rules out a potential fishery.
models and ensure the fishery does not impact on site integrity. In the interim, mandatory returns will be required although compliance with such has previously been	now in place. The degree to which this mitigates the risk of limited regulation is subject to review and will ultimately inform	In addition, the Shrimp Permit Byelaw 2018 will enable Eastern IFCA to manage levels of fishing effort over less sensitive habitats. Such habitats are assessed as not being sensitive to current levels of fishing activity and so the objective of the effort limitation is	Landings of brown shrimps have fluctuated greatly in the last 6 years (due to the biology of the species and market demands) therefore

poor. This	will	be v	whether	Eastern	IFCA	to ensure no increases in effo	ort. This	there is no strong trend and
supplemented	by I-VMS	as s	should in	nplement	any of	byelaw is awaiting consent from	om the	changes are reported as
this project deve	lops.	ť	he volur	itary me	asures	Secretary of State and the eff	ort limitation	within the normal range.
		г	as regula	ition.		model (and associated permit	t conditions)	This is also influenced by
A gap is identifi	ed in the v	ery	•			will be implemented after forn	nal	the availability of other
small-scale shr	imp fisher	ies				consultation. The risk associa	ated with this	fisheries (primarily
which are thoug	ht to exist	on				element cannot be reduced u	ntil the	cockles). The 2019 fishery
the North Norfo	lk Coast a	and				measures are in place.		was reportedly poor in
Suffolk, some o	which tar	get						relation to productivity and
shrimp only as	bait. The	ese				There are potentially issues	s with bycatch	market value.
are not capture	d in the MM	ON				within this fishery, although it	is thought that	
data sets. The	Shrimp Per	mit				this will likely be addressed th	rough the MSC	This fishery still contributes
Byelaw 2018 wi	I mitigate t	his				accreditation in The Wash,	which is where	a significant proportion of
associated risk	as mandat	ory				the majority of shrimps are ca	aught within the	total first sale value of catch
return forms will be required		red				district.		within the Eastern IFCA
from these fishe	ries also.							district and the vast
						It is thought that there are also	several fishers	majority of UK landings of
						that target this fishery in the S	ouffolk estuaries	brown shrimp come from
						where there is potential for the	e fishery to have	the Eastern IFCA district.
						a disproportionate negative e	ffect.	
Category of				Ra	tionale		P	otential works
works/Priority								
New data	Risk ident	ified p	previousl	y has be	en mitig	pated to an extent through the	 Develop mech 	nanisms to collect, store and
acquisition	acquisition of additional data form the MMO. However, Eastern IFCA an					analyse I-VMS	S data including dialogue with	
	effort limitation models are reliant on further data. This can be obtained partner organisations;					sations;		
High Priority	via catch recording, but I-VMS is consider				s consid	ered the best data to manage		
	this fishe	ry. Ad	dditional	work ma	ay be I	required to introduce this to		
	address the remaining risk.							
Monitor /	Continuation of the development of systems to analyse returns data					 Monitor effort 	in line with effort limitation	
maintenance	including	I-VMS	S data i	s require	ed. Ond	ce fully implemented, fishing	model	
	activity wi	Il requ	uire moni	toring an	nd mana	dement in line with measures	1	
	,			5				

	highlighted in the HRA, management plan, Monitoring and Control Plan and flexible permit conditions.	
Regulation	Mitigation in relation to impacts on designated habitats has been developed and is pending implementation. Risk cannot be reduced until	 Implement Shrimp Permit Byelaw and MPA management measures;
High Priority	this is in place, not least because the associated byelaws require the consent of the Secretary of State and formal QA which can lead to delays in its implementation.	 Develop fisheries sustainability management measures (including consideration of impacts on nursery areas);
	Priority in relation to sustainability measures is considered to be less because of the industry led initiative to achieve Marine Stewardship accreditation. Whilst some of these measures may require implementation as regulations, risk is considerably lower following the voluntary measures in place. As such, it is considered that a separate priority of medium is appropriate for this element.	 Implementation of I-VMS throughout shrimp fishing fleet.
	In addition, implementation of I-VMS requirements (including for vessels over 12m to increase reporting rates) will be required to address the evidence requirement gap. This may require regulation from Eastern IFCA.	
Engagement High Priority	MPA management measures have been subject to significant consultation and engagement but are still strongly opposed by some in the industry, particularly in relation to the manner in which effort is limited within The Wash. Subject to formal consultation, implementation of the proposed measures will likely require a significant engagement resource. Further dialogue is required in relation to the development of stock management.	 Continue dialogue with the industry in relation to MPA management measures (including formal consultation of permit conditions); Develop fisheries sustainability measures in consultation with the industry and considering outputs of MSC accreditation.
Enforcement Medium Priority	The implementation of the new measures will require enforcement and engagement to familiarise fishers with additional requirements (e.g. obtaining a permit, permit application process). Compliance with existing measures (mesh size requirements etc.) is generally considered good.	 Enforcement and engagement in relation to new shrimp measures; Routine shrimp fishery engagement and compliance checks in accordance with the Compliance Risk Register and TCG

Environment / ecosystems Medium Priority	Voluntary management measures have been developed and are in the process of being implemented via the industry lead MSC accreditation of the brown shrimp fishery. Via a MOU with the group certificated by MCS (Shrimp Producers Organisation Ltd), Eastern IFCA will undertake monitoring of compliance with the voluntary measures and reporting such to the group.	•Continue to implement associated MPA management measures;
Viable Industry Medium Priority	Limiting effort within The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC has raised concerns from the industry regarding the viability of the fishery. Whilst the ethos behind the measures is effectively to maintain the status quo, some fishers have indicated a preference to protect the business models as they exist by limiting access to the fishery based on current track record. Significant resource has been committed to consultation in this regard and there is a trade-off identified being that such a system would have the effect creating additional barriers to diversification, a mainstay of the inshore fisher. The model used to manage effort is subject to formal consultation where this concern will be addressed. In addition, there are concerns within the industry that the MSC accreditation scheme may create a closed system and prevent new entrants to the fishery.	 Consider balance between existing business models and the ability to 'diversify' across fisheries in development of permit scheme. Maintain Eastern IFCA involvement in the MSC accreditation scheme.
Species trends	Pink shrimp showing strong negative trends (with no reported landing variable with landings being high in 2018, which exceeds any recording	gs in 2017 and 2018). Brown shrimp highly since 2010 although not drastically.

Group: Skate	p: Skates and Rays Key Species: Thornback			Overall risk: Low			
Evidence bas	е	Cur	rent Regulation	Ecosystem impacts		Fisheries performance	
Initial assessm	nent Rank: Medium	Initia Med	al assessment Rank: lium	Initial assessment Ra	nk: Medium	Initial assessment Rank: Low	
Contextual Ra	nk: Low	Con	textual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: Me	dium	Contextual Rank: Medium	
Skates and rays suffer from poor identification and are often reported as 'skate and ray' or unintentionally misreported as the wrong species. The Quota system does distinguish between some species now, but this is hampered by the difficulties in identifying species. ICES advice is limited due to a paucity of data. Implementation of the Landing Obligation has in theory reduced risk associated with data gaps where this			Skates and rays targeted using long-l gillnets and deme Gillnets and trawls has ecosystem impact ar occurs in sensitive a or spawning grounds habitats), ecosystem occur. However, activ not very high (with often restricting activity trip per month for	are primarily ines but also ersal trawls. ave a greater nd where this reas (nursery or designated impacts could vity levels are skate quotas ity to a single r non-sector	ICES advice is unfavourable for 'skates and rays' (i.e. as a distinct reported species but there are limited landings of these within the district). ICES advice for thornback rays is maintain at current levels. As a group they are of limited economic value but some, smaller scale fishers may have a dependence on them.		
recoding has f	urther mitigated risk.			vessels).			
Category of			Priority / rationale			Potential works	
New data acquisition Low Priority	Risk has been mitigated to an extent as a result of landing obligat (which required reporting of catch used as bait) and under ten ca recording. K&E IFCA are undertaking a joint research project (SUMARIS) with Ce which may provide additional information. Netting activity data is requi in relation to bycatch of porpoises and SPA species.				 Actively liais in relation to Undertake or relevant to SPA bird sp 	se with partner organisations planned research projects; gap analysis of fishing activity assessing fishing impacts on ecies and porpoises.	
Monitor / maintenance	No workstreams are i	n pla	ce for this group.		 None identif 	ïed	
Low Priority							

Regulation Medium	There are no minimum sizes in place for this group nationally. However, Kent and Essex IFCA have identified a need for such. This reflects the vulnerability of the species to overfishing by removal of pre-spawning	 Review need for minimum size for 'skates and rays' as part of wider review of minimum sizes
Priority	individuals. This risk is in part mitigated by the limited level of landings into the Eastern IFCA district.	
Engagement	Catch from recreational fisheries is still not well understood although this is considered of less a risk compared to the gap previously identified for commercial fisheries	 Engagement with RSA clubs to gather evidence/data
Enforcement Low Priority	Group managed primarily through the quota system for which IFCOs have no powers.	 Routine engagement and compliance, intel gathering and partnership working with MMO in accordance with Compliance
Environment	Netting and trawl-based fisheries have the potential to have wider	Risk Register and TCG. • Develop relevant monitoring and control
/ ecosystems	ecosystem effects (habitat damage and by-catch, particularly in nursery or spawning areas) however this has been mostly mitigated through the	Plans;Undertake gap analysis of fishing activity
Low Priority	development of restricted areas for use of bottom towed gear although some are still to be implemented. These fishers will likely be subject to	relevant to assessing fishing impacts on SPA bird species and porpoises;
	location (primarily Suffolk fisheries). Netting fisheries are subject to	 Implementation of management measures for any relevant 'red-risk'
	Bottom-towed-gear management is also required in relation to 'red-risk'	gear/feature interactions within MPAs.
	relatively low.	
Viable	Fishers have reported to Eastern IFCA that at certain times of the year	• To engage at a national level regarding
moustry	available to be caught however the guota is not available. Eastern IFCA	for smaller vessels:
Medium	has no control over the allocation of quota but takes this into account	• To consider displacement and
Priority	when considering management of other fisheries to maximise fishing opportunity generally and enable diversification to mitigate this issue.	diversification into other fisheries
Key species	The majority of species within this group are marginal with less than 1 to	nne average landed per year over the last 8
/ Species trends	years. An exception to this is thornback ray for which an average of 57 to a marginal negative trend. Eastern IFCA landings as a proportion of UK la	nnes is landed per year. This species shows andings is 1.7%.

Group: Whell	ks Key s	oecies: Whelk	Overall risk: High				
Evidence base		Current Regulation	Ecosystem impacts	Fisheries performance			
Initial assessment Rank: Low		Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: Low	Initial assessment Rank: High			
Contextual Ra	nk: High	Contextual Rank: Medium	Contextual Rank: High	Contextual Rank: High			
Whelk fisheries data has been collected over the last three years in conjunction with the Emergency Whelk Byelaw and its permanent replacement. A current research project is ongoing to determine an effective minimum landing size and effort restrictions the context of MSY. Continuation of current collection is considered sufficient to provide data for the research project.		A permit mechanism is now in place which enables the introduction of measures as required. Effort, gear and minimum size are all currently managed and an ongoing research project will inform of any required changes. In the context of a recent increase in effort, the risk associated with this element is increased. Suffolk fishers have raised concerns that the minimum size is too high and effectively makes the fishery inshore unviable. Permit conditions require review during 2020. Other fishers are concerned that overfishing is occurring both	Potting fisheries represent a relatively low risk in relation to ecosystem impacts although, assessments of potting activity within the Cromer Shoal MCZ is required. Additional, higher resolution spatial data is required to effectively assess potting impacts within the Cromer Shoal MCZ. A stock assessment is still required, and risk is increased in the context of increased fishing effort during 2019.	The landed weight of whelks is significant within the district and one of the major whelk processing factories is situated within the district. In 2016 the number of vessels fishing inside the district was the same as 2014. The landed weight has remained consistently high since 2014 and peaked in 2016. As a result of improving market conditions and a lack of other fishing opportunities, additional fishing effort has been observed during 2019.			
Cotogony of		Inside and outside the district.		Potontial works			
worke/		Rational		Folenilai works			
Rational							
New data	Additional bio	plogical data is needed to inform wo	rk relating to the MCRS • Incr	ease scope of research project and			
acquisition	implemented	through the Whelk Permit Byelaw	2016. Review of permit volu	intary gathering of whelk samples.			
	conditions is required during 2020 and in the context of increased fishing						

High Priority	effort, a stock assessment is of a greater priority to inform if further restrictions are required.	Undertake stock assessment and assessment of size at sexual maturity
Monitor / maintenance Medium Priority	Continuation of research project in relation to minimum size and Maximum Sustainable Yield. Size of Maturity (SOM) studies appear to indicate the MLS is appropriate for the district, but difficulties sourcing samples mean study is limited in spatial extent. Priority should be to sample from additional areas if samples can be found. Four years of landings data enable annual trends in LPUE to be monitored to determine if fishery is stable. Additional bio sampling and more complex models will be required to assess MSY.	Continuation of Whelk research projects to develop appropriate minimum size and effort management.
Regulation Medium Priority	Current Permit conditions require review during 2020. In the context of reports of increased effort and the lack of a stock assessment, risk that the measures currently in place are not sufficient increases. However, those in place are still likely to be having some protective effect. Completion of the review and stock assessment will inform if further measures are required.	 To be determined by associated stock assessment, minimum size review and permit conditions review
Engagement Medium Priority	Engagement will be required during the year as part of the review of permit conditions and potentially as a result of a stock assessment and assessment of the minimum size.	 Review of permit conditions
Enforcement High Priority	Non-compliance with the Emergency Whelk Byelaw and the Whelk Permit Byelaw 2016 was detected during 2019 as with in most years. In addition, the favoured bait species for whelks are edible crab (<i>Cancer pagurus</i>). Eastern IFCA has a byelaw in place to prevent the use of edible crab as bait, in response to fishers using undersize crabs. Risk in relation to non- compliance increased during 2019 as a result of a greater reliance on the species in The Wash as fishers diversified into the fishery due to poor shrimp catches and market influences.	 Routine whelk fishery engagement and compliance, intel gathering and partnership working with MMO in accordance with Compliance Risk Register and TCG.
Environment / ecosystems High Priority	An assessment of impacts of fishing activity in relation to the Cromer Shoal MCZ needs to be undertaken. Monitoring and control plans will be required, this activity takes place predominantly within MPAs for which MCPs have been prioritised (namely the Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC).	 Development of relevant Monitoring and control plans; Cromer Shoal MCZ – fishing impact assessment.
Viable Industry	Some whelk fishers (primarily in Suffolk) are of the view that the minimum size is too high to make the fishery viable on these grounds. This is reflected	 Further research on size of maturity, focusing on sampling from a variety of

Medium	in the ongoing workstream to determine what an appropriate minimum size should be. The Marine Conservation Society have assessed the whelk fishery within the Eastern IFCA alongside the Kent and Essex IFCA fishery and separately from the wider Southern North Sea stock. This reflects the additional management in place in the two IFCA districts compared to nationally. The rating is as 'fishery requires improvement' as part of their 'good fish guide' which is an improved score compared to that nationally. This rating has the potential to limit the market that the fishery can supply, with some buyers reportedly only buying in accordance with this guide. In the first instance, dialogue is needed with the producers of the guide to ensure that the assessments reflect the best available evidence. Within the assessment, the 'requires improvement' score is primarily as a result of there not being a stock assessment for this fishery.	 different locations to ensure the MLS is appropriate. Continued monitoring of LPUE data to determine trends. Biosampling to help inform LCCC models.
Species trends	There was initially a strong upward trend in this fishery in 2011/12. Following peaking in 2016. This broadly reflects the trend seen throughout the UK.	this, landings have been relatively steady,

Consideration of engagement during 2019

Eastern IFCA records messages from stakeholders on a 'message system' to allow for analysis and consideration. Messages come from the full spectrum of stakeholders. In addition, key engagement themes identified during patrols are reported. By capturing this information, Eastern IFCA can more effectively report against the key concerns of our stakeholders. The key themes emerging from the messages are set out below:

Table 3. Themes and context of engagement

Theme and Context	Eastern IFCA consideration
Whelk Permit Byelaw	Throughout the year the whelk permit byelaw was discussed with
Gear Conflict	officers frequently.
Permit tags being lost	

Queries about how the permit works	This was due to the high value and overall economic importance
 Difficult to change tags annually 	of the fishery and perceived issues with the byelaw.
 Vessels keep running over gear 	
 Discussions about methodology for carrying out whelk 	As a consequence of this during the year we undertook informal
inspections	consultation of the byelaws permit conditions. This is currently an
 The permit byelaw does not work 	ongoing workstream and will continue into the 2020-21 year.
 Concern about the high landings that are occurring from 	
the offshore grounds	
 Cooked crab should be allowed as bait 	
Shrimp Permit Byelaw	This work was considered as a wider informal consultation, of
• Various queries about how the shrimp permit byelaw will be	which many members of the industry have been well engaged
administered.	with Eastern IFCA.
 Concerns about how a permit scheme will be managed 	
Seaweed Farm	Many fishermen engaged with Eastern IFCA regarding a proposal
	for an offshore seaweed farm. Eastern IFCA engaged with the
	industry and the MMO through the Marine planning process.
Landing Obligation	Eastern IFCA received various queries and reports related to the
	landing obligation and its implementation. Eastern IFCA play a
	supporting fore in this MMO led regulation and will continue to do
Seals	Eastern IECA have previously been aware of these issues as
Poports of High Numbers	reported by the fishing industry. Eastern have engaged regarding
 Reports of Doad Soals Washing up on the beach 	the issue at both a national and local level and will continue to do
 Reports of Dead Seals Washing up on the beach Use of Seal Deterrents (ID people for trial 	so where opportunities present themselves
Wash Fishery Order Cockle fishery	Issues that have arisen in relation to the WEO cockle fishery are
 Concerns about how small the TAC is likely to be (received.) 	reported to the authority appually. Many of the concerns raised by
early in the season)	stakeholders are subject to high and medium priority workstreams
 Concerns over the management measures for the year and 	over the coming year and will therefore be addressed.
how the survey is carried out (to end up with what was	
perceived as a large TAC)	
 The licencing system is unfair and is not managed properly 	
 The licencing system is unfair and is not managed properly 	

 The yield of cockles is very poor, this is affecting the viability of the fishery. Concern over quantities of small cockles being landed (change bed, bring in MCRS, close fishery) Bird closures ineffective (birds are not disturbed by fishermen. TAC between WRA and WFO should be combined 	
Biosecurity	Eastern IFCA has set up an active monitoring mechanism for
A lot of whelk are being landed with slipper limpets on.	Diosecurity concerns.
 New Charter boats Recreational angling shop closures x2 	following release of EU bass measures. Investigation has shown that although there have been closures of some angling shops, others have opened.
 Crab and Lobster sustainability High amounts of berried lobster around during the year High quantities of poor quality crab are being landed. 	A consultation is ongoing into crab and lobster fishing and intends to tackle sustainability issues in due course.
 Marine Protected Areas Byelaw and Closed area Byelaw Closures are a positive thing due to protective effect Concerns about the closures (primarily in relation to the north Norfolk coast). This covers important grounds Current closed areas need to be reviewed and possibly opened Eastern IFCA should not be bringing in more closures New proposed closed areas will impact on mussel seed grounds. 	All closed areas are subject to extensive consultation and review as part of their implementation. Review periods are being established and will likely occur following the completion of the other high priority workstreams.
 Mussel fisheries Areas available are not good New mussel bed found by fishing industry Queries about how the Welland Wall fishery is administered 	The majority of these issues have been included as high priority workstreams and as such will be addressed in the following year.

viability section of the strategic assessment where we look to find
workstreams where we can support industry.
/ia NO

2.2 Eastern IFCA Priorities 2020-21

The above assessment indicates many actions relevant to the risks associated with fisheries within the district. Table 4 indicates the key issues and provides rationale for their allocated priority.

Table 4 – High priority works for 2019-20				
Category	Work	Fisheries	Comments / Rationale	
To ensure that the	Development of	Demersal,	Primarily relates to shrimp trawling (although all bottom-towed-gear fisheries will	
conservation	management	flatfish,	be affected) within the Inner Dowsing, Race Bank and North Ridge (IDRBNR)	
objectives of Marine	measures for 'red-	Dogfish and	SAC. 'Red-risk' interactions require immediate management. Work includes	
Protected Areas in	risk' gear/feature	Sharks,	development of spatial closures within the IDRBNR SAC, dialogue with Natural	
the district are	interactions.	Skates and	England and the industry, formal consultation of regulations, an economic	
furthered		Rays,	impact assessment and production of engagement material for stakeholders.	
		Shrimp and	Continued from 2016/17 priority. It is also required that we continue the	
		prawns	implementation of the Shrimp Permit Byelaw and MPA management measures.	
	Assessing the	Crustacean	Work to include a gap analysis of available evidence (impacts and fisheries	
	impact of fishing	s, Whelks,	activity) to inform an environmental impact assessment. Further habitat mapping	
	activities on the	Shrimp and	information may also be required. Subsequent work will include development of	
	Cromer Shoal	prawns,	management measures (as necessary), dialogue with the industry including	
	Chalk Beds (MCZ)	bivalve	formal consultation and the production of an impact assessment. Continued from	
	and delivering	molluscs	2017/18 priority. Partnership work with Cefas and MMO to develop under ten	
	management		reporting (which replaced MSAR forms) as higher spatial resolution and effort	
	measures (if		data is needed.	
	required).			
	Development of	Bivalve	The highest priority Monitoring and control plans relate to Shrimp beam trawling	
	priority Monitoring	molluscs,	and pots and traps. Where these occur in MPA's the risk is increased, and this	
	and Control plans.	shrimp and	will be the focus of monitoring and control plans.	
		prawns		

	Completion of amber/green gear/feature interactions and development of management measures where required.	Demersal, flatfish, Dogfish and Sharks, Skates and Rays, pelagic	Undertake and complete assessments for all amber/green assessments within the district including for the three new MPA's within the district (noting that other organisations may lead on the development of management measures for the harbour porpoise SAC). For the new MPA's an assessment of fishing activity data and impacts is required as further evidence may need to be obtained.
To ensure that sea fisheries resources are exploited sustainably and in accordance with MSFD requirements	Development of management measures in relation to shrimp fisheries sustainability	Shrimp and prawns (brown shrimp)	The shrimp fishery is of high economic importance and is a nationally important fishery. Work includes development of management measures in consultation with the industry (including outputs from the MSC accreditation scheme), development of impact assessments and formal consultation with the industry. The priority of the work may be influenced by outputs of the MSC accreditation scheme – if suitable voluntary measures are adopted successfully, the requirement on Eastern IFCA may be reduced. Some actions have already progressed in relation to sustainability (veil nets). Eastern IFCA to monitor effort in line with effort limitation model.
	Development of management measures in relation to crab and lobster fisheries sustainability	Crustacea (edible crab and lobsters)	The crab and lobster fisheries are of high economic and cultural value and represent nationally important fisheries. The immediate risk to the fishery is moderate in relation to crabs but higher in relation to lobsters, however neither are thought to be operating at MSY. Work includes a significant amount of informal consultation to develop measures, collection and analysis of relevant evidence (including fisheries data and economic impacts) including development of data collection mechanisms from fishers. The Whelk Byelaw 2016 would also benefit from a review, as consultation will need to take place with the same fishers, by adding this byelaw into the consultation process there will be added benefit, with little additional work. It will also reduce the effect of stakeholder fatigue.
	Increase scope of research project and voluntary	Whelk	To inform further management measures, more information needs to be collected and research reports completed. This is required due to the increasing reliance of fishers on this fishery and a lack of information about the stock and overall sustainability of the fishery.

	gathering of whelk samples. Undertake stock assessment and assessment of size at sexual maturity		
To ensure that the marine environment is protected from the effect of exploitation by reviewing district wide bio-security measures including management of invasive, non-native species	Implementation of WFO Shellfish Lay lease conditions	Bivalve molluscs	Work in relation to ensuring compliance with WFO lease conditions (putting on and removing shellfish). Education and engagement in relation to biosecurity and the transfer of Invasive non-native species.
To develop management of the fisheries regulated under the WFO (regulated and several fishery)	Development of cockle fishery and mussel fishery management plans following their review for the WFO 1992 fisheries. Implementation of, fisheries management plan and Regulations.	Bivalve molluscs	Work includes formal consultation with WFO licence holders and other concerned and potentially impacted stakeholders in relation to proposed measures and implementation pending consent from the Minister (including production of engagement material for fishers).
	Continued development of WFO policies.	Bivalve molluscs	Work includes informal consultation with WFO licence holders to develop policies which relate to the key concerns of fishers and appropriate management
	Replacement of WFO 1992	Bivalve molluscs	The Wash fisheries exhibit a range of differing business models which are often in conflict. In addition, the WFO has a long history and is a relatively complex

			regulatory mechanism that will require significant amounts of review, legal advice and stakeholder consultation. This workstream will extend across multiple years but will need to begin in 2020-21.
Viable Industry	Investigation into mussel die off	Bivalve molluscs	Since 2010 the inter-tidal mussel beds have suffered unusually high-levels of mortality that has led to the decline of the beds and the mussel fishery. The beds are now in a very poor condition and unable to support a viable fishery. The actual cause of the mortalities is currently unknown, making the situation difficult to manage. A multi-disciplinary investigation will be required to gain a better understanding of what is causing the mortalities, informing more effective management of the situation.
	Economic assessment of hand-work cockle fishery viability	Bivalve molluscs	Required to help inform the review of the WFO in the coming years to enable informed decision making which ensures that industry viability is accounted for.
Obtaining better fisheries data	Implementation of iVMS for all fisheries	All	Notwithstanding the current work streams to implement I-VMS requirements within the cockle and shrimp fisheries, a national approach is underway to deliver a requirement for all fishing vessels to have a form of electronic monitoring device. Input from the IFCAs is required to develop the necessary Statutory Instrument. There is also direction from the Authority that, should a national approach not succeed, IFCA byelaws would be used to implement the requirement.

2.3 'Business as Usual' – Critical Work-streams 2020-21

The Strategic Assessment indicates where risks in relation to a fishery or species are mitigated because of established work streams. The cessation of such work streams has the potential to increase risk associated with a fishery. Such identified work streams are set out below to provide context for the identified 'new' priorities identified through the Strategic Assessment.

SWEEP

The SWEEP project has been reviewed and it has been determined that evaluating the food carrying capacity is beyond our resources/capability. We will continue monitoring the chlorophyll and cockle and mussel meat yields required by the model used as mitigation within the associated HRA. New sondes have been purchased to conduct this monitoring regime.

WFO surveys

Annual surveys of cockle and mussel stocks within The Wash are a significant undertaking. These surveys do, however provide a level of fisheries evidence which is not reflected in any other fishery within the district. There is currently a review ongoing regarding the type and extent of sampling regime required. The associated fisheries are considered a low risk primarily because of our understanding of stock dynamics but also reflect the mechanism in place for managing the fisheries (The Wash Fishery order) and its associated tools (Fisheries Management Plan).

Risk of conflicts with other marine users

The present assessment focusses on sustainability issues which are within Eastern IFCAs envelope of influence. Other marine users also compete for space and resource within the marine environment and such activity is increasing over time.

Eastern IFCA is a statutory consultee within the Marine Licencing System. Where new plans or projects are proposed within the district, Eastern IFCA highlights potential conflicts with fisheries sustainability.

Enforcement

Enforcement activity is primarily driven through the Compliance Risk Register and Tactical Coordinating Group meetings (which considers intelligence, emerging issues, fishing trends and the monthly risk profile). Enforcement activity is influenced by the outputs of the Strategic Assessment as this identifies the fisheries most at risk of sustainability issues (and by extension, those potentially most vulnerable to negative impacts through non-compliance).

Complete HRAs in relation to 'unplanned' fisheries

Mussel fisheries (sub-tidal seed mussel fisheries in particular) have the potential to occur throughout the year. Where such a fishery is detected by fishers, officers have a limited amount of time to develop management measures and a HRA for the fishery 42

(particularly in sub-tidal fisheries which are ephemeral). In the event one does occur, the economic benefit of the fishery is relatively high (as mussel is usually used in local aquaculture).

Monitoring of district wide biosecurity risk

Previously this workstream has been identified as a high priority workstream, as such steps have been taken to progress the workstream. These generally have been completed, however due to the nature of the project in order to keep risk reduced it must become an ongoing piece of work, therefore it is now included as business as usual. A monitoring plan for biosecurity issues had been implemented, with a lead officer coordinating this. Officers have been briefed about reporting biosecurity concerns, and if these occur potential actions will be considered. It is recognised that the spread and control of Invasive non-native species is outside of Eastern IFCA's remit and we may be limited to reactionary actions only, but this is not a primary function. Officers implement mitigation measures on an ad hoc basis, including education and engagement, in relation to identified risks.

2.4 Identification of future priorities 2020-21

Given the finite resources of the IFCA, workloads are prioritised. Table 5 (below) sets out work streams relating to risks identified within the assessment which are considered less of a priority. It is important to highlight these potential work streams as they may inform future Strategic Assessments or, opportunities may present during the year which enable additional benefit from existing or partner projects for which, these should be considered.

Table 5 – Ider	able 5 – Identification of future priorities 2020-21				
Category	Work	Fisheries	Comments / Rationale		
Obtaining better fisheries	Continue dialogue with MMO in relation to securing data from the new under 10m	All (focus on finfish species)	Risk associated with this work-stream is mitigated through national approaches and partnership working. Work primarily includes partnership working with this national piece of work including influencing the outcomes		
data	vessel reporting.	000000)	to solve IFCA data deficiencies.		
	Development of relationships with RSA to obtain more fisheries data.	All (focus on finfish species)	Finfish species are relatively data poor within the district, but RSA data will be useful in determining trends to detect issues. Development of the IFCA's relationship with the RSA sector will further our available evidence and enable better integration of RSA activity into the Strategic Assessment.		
	Further develop the mechanism to obtain voluntary data from commercial fishers in light of possible changes to important commercial species	Demersal, flatfish, skates and rays, dogfish	Existing voluntary measures are in place to obtain better fisheries data. This project needs a full review into its possible applications and whether it is still required in context of new national under 10m catch reporting.		
	(reduced ability to depend on Bass and Cod).	and sharks			
	Continue dialogue with MMO and other partner organisations to develop 'joined-up' approach to gathering fisheries data from fishers.	Demersal, skates and rays, flatfish, dogfish and sharks	In order to obtain better fisheries evidence without duplicating effort on the part of the fishers, a collaborative approach is required. In particular, MMO data requirements do not have the spatial resolution needed to undertake HRAs. Furthermore, effort data is rarely collected.		
	Gather information regarding recreational hand gathering.	Bivalve Molluscs	This is identified as a data gap throughout the district and may have an impact on stocks in certain areas.		
Delivering	recreational hand gathering. Re-assess need to deliver	Nolluscs Demersal	The assessment of the potential impacts and scale of 'unregulated netting'		
fisheries	'unregulated netting' in the	flatfish,	was undertaken during the last financial year as a priority. Subsequently,		
management	context of BNA.	skates and	BNA have been proposed and Eastern IFCA has provided evidence		
in relation to		rays,	towards the development of these. The establishment of BNA diminishes		
fisheries in		dogfish	the requirement to implement independent 'unregulated netting measures'		
MPAs		and sharks	in most areas.		

Review the Humber estuary	Bivalve	Fishing opportunities within this fishery have previously been limited by an
cockle byelaw (inherited from	molluscs	unfavourable stock assessment (e.g. 2016 survey found very few fishable
North Eastern Sea Fisheries		cockles), the lack of an up-to-date shellfish water classification and
Committee)		difficulties relating to access via the land. The byelaw requires review to
		make it more transparent and to enable a fishery from the sea. Fishers
		have more recently indicated a will to fish the area and there may be a
		relatively simple solution to enable this to be explored. In order for the area
		to get water classification the local council have indicated that they would
		need a call from industry directly, rather than through Eastern IFCA.

3. Principles applied in undertaking priorities

The Strategic Assessment focusses on 'what' is required to further fisheries sustainability and the conservation objectives of MPAs. The 'how' work is undertaken is driven primarily by our vision statement and our published policies and strategies. In undertaking The Strategic Assessment two important principles were identified which are set out below.

3.1 Consideration of the 'complete fishery'

Fisheries consist of more than just the fish and fishing gear which capture them. The productivity of a fishery can be influenced by the protection of habitats associated with the prey of a target species or by the strength of the market into which they are sold.

Eastern IFCA regulations tend to focus on the mechanisms of catching fish and shellfish, for example; restrictions on the number of whelk pots and daily quotas of cockles. However, our management of these fisheries considers the complete fishery and, where it is achievable and appropriate, Eastern IFCA endeavours to get additional benefit from management measures by taking this consideration into account.



3.2 Community Voice Method

Stakeholder engagement is fundamental to the delivery of Eastern IFCA objectives. Our commitment to engagement is set out in the annual Engagement Plan. From drawing on local knowledge - listening to and working with fishermen to develop management measures - to engaging with young people about the benefits of the marine environment, Eastern IFCA commits a significant resource to communication.

In 2016, we undertook an innovative community engagement project called the 'Community Voice Project'. The aim of the project was to engage with a diverse range 46

of stakeholders using new methods, to understand what is important to them in relation to the inshore environment. The project delivered a formal report on its findings in October 2017. The data has been used to inform the contextual information within the assessment.

4. Conclusions

Outputs focus on work-streams rather than a fishery or a species. In particular, the management of MPAs features more cohesively as part of the assessment, resulting in outputs which cover the entirety of Eastern IFCA's remit.

The outputs of the assessment largely reflect the 2019-20 priorities where work is still underway. Some work-streams identified in the 2019 assessment have been reprioritised as a lesser priority which is primarily due to national programs mitigating some of the risk.